Remarks

Claims 1-8 are pending in the application, with claims 1 and 3 being the independent claims. The Office Action indicates that claims 1-8 are allowable. Based on the following remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all outstanding objections and issue a Notice of Allowance for this application.

Objection to the Drawings

The Office Action states on page 2 that the drawings are objected to by the Draftsperson. In response to this objection, formal replacement drawing sheets for Figures 1-5 in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(d) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(c) are included herewith. The formal replacement drawings correspond to the informal drawings currently pending in the above-captioned application. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw this objection.

Objection to the Specification

The Office Action states that the specification is objected to because "[a] 'nutation mirror' is represented by a reference number 116 on pages 4-5 and a reference number 118 on pages 6-7 of the disclosure." Applicants have already provided the requested correction in the Preliminary Amendment filed on January 13, 2003, which the current Office Action states has been entered. In the Preliminary Amendment, references to the 'nutation mirror' were corrected to reference number 118 instead of 116 and references to the 'tilt mirror mount' were corrected to reference number 116 instead of 118, in order to correctly correspond to the

originally filed drawings. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw this objection.

Other Matters

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the return of the Forms PTO-1449 from the Information Disclosure Statements filed on March 14, 2003, and August 25, 2004. However, Applicants would like the Examiner to note that item AK3 on page 3 of the Form PTO-1449 filed on March 14, 2003, was not initialed. In addition, Applicants have not yet received initialed and signed forms from the three electronic Information Disclosure Statements filed on August 30, 2004. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner initial and return another copy of the Form PTO-1449 filed on March 14, 2003, as well as the forms from the three electronic Information Disclosure Statements filed on August 30, 2004, and indicate in the official file wrapper of this patent application that the documents have been considered.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of objection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all currently outstanding objections and that they be withdrawn. Applicants believe that a full and complete reply has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STERME, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Michael V. Messinger Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 37,575

Date: 12

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 (202) 371-2600

339611_1.DOC